Information-Theoretic Bounds on Quantum Decoherence: A Short Philosophical Note on the Emergence of Classicality

Yakufujiang Yasen

Urumqi, Xinjiang, China
**E-mail*: yacob_yasen@outlook.com

(Received 18 September 2026, Accepted 04 November 2026, Published 10 November 2026)

Abstract

The quantum-to-classical transition remains a foundational puzzle. While environmental decoherence explains the practical disappearance of interference, it does not fully account for the ontological status of definite macroscopic outcomes. This short paper proposes a preliminary theoretical fragment: the emergence of classical definiteness is constrained not only by environmental dynamics but also by the finite information-processing capacity of the observing system. We introduce a heuristic information-theoretic inequality linking the observation timescale to the observer's information bandwidth. Philosophically, this reframes classical reality not as an objective, observer-independent property of the macroscopic world, but as a cognitively compressed "interface" phenomenon. We discuss the implications of this view for the measurement problem and suggest that classicality may be species-specific, dependent on the information architecture of the observer.

Keywords: Quantum Decoherence; Information Theory; Classicality Emergence; Measurement Problem; Observer-Dependent Reality; Quantum Darwinism

1. Introduction: The Epistemic Gap in the Quantum-to-Classical Transition

Despite the empirical triumph of quantum mechanics, the transition from the quantum micro-world to the classical macro-world harbors a persistent conceptual tension. The orthodox consensus, heavily influenced by environmental decoherence theory, posits that interaction with the environment rapidly suppresses quantum interference terms, effectively diagonalizing the reduced density matrix in a preferred "pointer" basis. This mechanism elegantly explains why we do not observe macroscopic superpositions in everyday life.

However, a critical epistemic gap remains. Decoherence transforms a pure state into an improper mixed state; it explains the practical impossibility of observing interference, but it does not explain why a specific, definite outcome is experienced by the observer. The global state

remains a superposition. Why does the human observer, or any macroscopic measuring device, experience a single, classical reality rather than an entangled, probabilistic blur?

Traditional approaches often treat the observer as a passive, idealized entity with infinite resolution. In this short note, we propose a conceptual shift. We hypothesize that the emergence of classical definiteness is not merely a dynamical consequence of environmental interaction, but is fundamentally bounded by the information-theoretic limits of the observing system. Classicality, on this view, is a cognitively compressed representation of an underlying quantum substrate, shaped by the finite bandwidth of the observer's information-processing architecture.

2. Theoretical Fragment: Information-Theoretic Bounds on Observability

To formalize this intuition, we must look beyond the standard decoherence timescale τ_D and consider the observer as a physical system with finite information capacity.

In the framework of Quantum Darwinism, classical objectivity emerges through the redundant encoding of information about pointer states in the environment. Multiple observers can access fragments of the environment and agree on the system's state. Yet, this framework implicitly assumes that the observer can seamlessly extract and process this redundant information.

We propose a complementary constraint. Let C_{info} denote the maximum information processing rate (in bits per second) of the observing system. Crucially, we distinguish two classes of observers: (1) physical measuring apparatus (detectors, sensors, CMOS circuits), characterized by hardware-level information throughput determined by bandwidth, sampling rate, and memory storage; and (2) cognitive biological observers (humans, animals), characterized by neural-consciousness processing limits. Both are physical systems subject to information-theoretic bounds, though operating at vastly different scales. The key insight is thatC_{info} represents the extractable information rate, regardless of whether the observer is silicon-based or carbon-based.

When a quantum system undergoes decoherence, the environment effectively "measures" the system, generating a vast amount of mutual information. However, for this information to manifest as a definite classical experience for a specific observer, it must be processed within the observer's bandwidth limits.

We propose the following heuristic inequality as a working hypothesis for the emergence of classical definiteness:

$$\tau_{obs} \geq \frac{S(\rho_{decohered}) - S(\rho_{initial})}{C_{info}}$$

Where:

τ_{obs} is the characteristic timescale required for the observer to resolve a definite state.

$S(\rho)$ represents the von Neumann entropy of the system. The numerator represents the information generated by the decoherence process (the transition from a pure superposition to a mixed state).

C_{info} is the observer's information processing capacity.

Interpretation: This inequality suggests a fundamental trade-off. An observer with finite C_{info} cannot resolve the rapid generation of environmental information during decoherence if the process occurs faster than their processing bandwidth. In such cases, the observer's interface "averages out" the quantum fluctuations, rendering a stable, classical, low-entropy appearance.

For a macroscopic object, the entropy change $S(\rho_{decohered})$-$S(\rho_{initial})$is astronomically large (on the order of 10^{23} bits). For a human observer, C_{info} is constrained by neural processing limits (roughly 100 bits/second for conscious perception). Consequently, tau_{obs} for macroscopic quantum coherence exceeds the age of the universe. Humans are structurally incapable of resolving macroscopic superpositions; we are forced to perceive a classical world. Conversely, for a single electron, the entropy change is minimal ($\sim$1 *bit*), and τ_{obs} falls within the millisecond range, making quantum effects potentially accessible to high-speed detectors.

Limitations and Scope: We emphasize that this inequality is a heuristic conceptual tool, not a rigorously derived theorem from quantum resource theory or thermodynamics. It ignores several important factors: thermal noise in the observer's substrate, finite memory storage capacity, the quantum nature of the observer itself, and the back-action of measurement. It is intended as a working hypothesis to motivate further formal development, not as a quantitative prediction tool. Its validity is restricted to scenarios where the observer can be treated as a classical information channel with well-defined bandwidth. A complete derivation would require integrating quantum thermodynamics, Landauer's principle, and the theory of quantum measurements with finite resources.

This fragment does not replace decoherence theory; it complements it by introducing an observer-relative information bottleneck. It provides a principled, rather than merely pragmatic, explanation for the absence of macroscopic superpositions in human experience.

3. Philosophical Implications:
Classicality as a Cognitively Compressed Interface

The introduction of an information-theoretic bound carries profound philosophical implications for the ontology of the classical world.

If the emergence of definite outcomes depends on the observer's information capacity (C_{info}), then classicality cannot be considered an absolute, observer-independent property of macroscopic systems. Instead, classical reality functions as a "user interface." Just as a computer desktop hides the complex, high-dimensional dynamics of transistors and binary code behind simple, low-dimensional icons (folders, trash bins) to make the machine operable for a human user, the human cognitive interface compresses the high-dimensional, non-local quantum state into a low-dimensional, local, and definite classical reality.

This perspective challenges the naive realism that assumes our classical intuition is a faithful map of fundamental reality. The "laws" of classical physics—deterministic trajectories, local causality, discrete objects—are not necessarily the source code of the universe. They are the

operational logic of our species-specific biological interface, optimized for survival in a macroscopic environment, not for comprehending quantum ontology.

Furthermore, this view introduces the provocative possibility of "species-specific classicality." An artificial intelligence system with a vastly different architecture and a much higher C_{info} might not experience the same classical definiteness as a human. If an AI could process environmental information at terabits per second, the inequality above suggests it might be able to resolve residual quantum coherence at scales where humans only see classical objects. Classical reality, therefore, is not a universal stage, but a localized projection dependent on the information-processing constraints of the observer.

Importantly, this framework does not entail anti-realism or solipsism. We do not deny the existence of an observer-independent quantum reality. Rather, we argue that classical definiteness—the appearance of localized, deterministic objects—is a relational property arising from the interaction between the quantum substrate and observers with finite information capacity. The quantum state remains real; what is relative is the classical interface through which different observers access it.

4. Preliminary Empirical Signatures and Experimental Challenges

While the proposed inequality is heuristic, it suggests potential empirical signatures that could, in principle, distinguish this framework from standard decoherence theory.

Signature 1: Observer-Dependent Decoherence Rates

If classicality is bounded by C_{info}, then measurement devices with fundamentally different information-processing architectures might exhibit subtly different effective decoherence rates or sensitivity to residual quantum coherence at the mesoscopic scale. For example, neuromorphic AI chips (with event-driven, sparse information processing) versus standard CMOS detectors (with continuous, high-bandwidth processing) might show different thresholds for observing the quantum-to-classical transition in identical experimental setups. This would require isolating the detector's information architecture from other variables such as temperature and coupling strength.

Signature 2: Information-Theoretic Signatures in Decoherence Data

Re-analyzing high-precision decoherence experiments (such as those involving macroscopic superpositions of molecules or optomechanical systems) to look for deviations from standard exponential decay at the precise threshold where the environmental information generation rate matches the detector's maximum information processing rate (C_{info}). Such deviations would manifest as plateaus or anomalies in the decoherence curve, signaling the activation of the observer bandwidth constraint.

Experimental Challenges:

Distinguishing this observer-bandwidth effect from standard environmental decoherence represents a major experimental challenge. It requires careful control over detector response properties, precise characterization of the detector's information throughput, and the ability to

vary C_{info} independently of other physical parameters. Moreover, the predicted effects are likely subtle and may be masked by thermal noise, imperfect isolation, and other conventional decoherence channels. Nevertheless, even null results would be informative, placing bounds on the parameter space where information-theoretic constraints might operate.

5. Conclusion and Outlook

This short paper has proposed a preliminary theoretical fragment suggesting that the quantum-to-classical transition is governed by information-theoretic bounds arising from the finite processing capacity of the observer. By linking the decoherence entropy generation to the observer's information bandwidth, we offer a novel lens through which to view the measurement problem: classical definiteness is a cognitively compressed interface phenomenon.

While the proposed inequality is heuristic and requires rigorous derivation from quantum thermodynamics and resource theories, it opens new avenues for conceptual and experimental exploration. The crisis of scientific explanation in the age of AI often focuses on the opacity of algorithmic reasoning. However, the quantum measurement problem reveals a deeper opacity: the black box of our own cognitive interface. Recognizing classicality as a biologically constrained information compression is not a retreat into anti-realism; it is a necessary step toward a more mature, post-anthropocentric understanding of the quantum universe.

Future work should focus on: (1) deriving a rigorous form of the inequality from first principles; (2) designing experiments to test observer-dependent decoherence; and (3) exploring the implications for quantum technologies and AI-mediated measurements. Only by confronting the limits of our own information-processing architecture can we hope to transcend them and glimpse the quantum reality that lies beneath the classical interface.

REFERENCES

Bekenstein, J. D. (1973). Black holes and entropy. Physical Review D, 7(8), 2333-2346.

Brukner, Č., & Zeilinger, A. (2009). Information invariance and quantum probabilities. Foundations of Physics, 39(7), 677-689.

Fuchs, C. A., Mermin, N. D., & Schack, R. (2014). An introduction to QBism with an application to the locality of quantum correlations. American Journal of Physics, 82(8), 749-754.

Hoffman, D. D. (2018). The Case Against Reality: Why Evolution Hid the Truth from Our Eyes. W.W. Norton & Company.

Landauer, R. (1961). Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. IBM Journal of Research and Development, 5(3), 183-191.

Rovelli, C. (1996). Relational quantum mechanics. International Journal of Theoretical Physics, 35(8), 1637-1678.

Schlosshauer, M. (2005). Decoherence, the measurement problem, and interpretations of quantum mechanics. Reviews of Modern Physics, 76(4), 1267-1305.

Wheeler, J. A., & Zurek, W. H. (Eds.). (1983). Quantum Theory and Measurement. Princeton University Press.

Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. Reviews of Modern Physics, 75(3), 715-775.

Zurek, W. H. (2009). Quantum Darwinism. Nature Physics, 5(3), 181-188.